

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 2023

				_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,511	04/16/2001	Bernard Aspar	204403USOPCT	9345
22850 75	90 02/15/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			LATTIN, CHRISTOPHER W	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
• Office Action Summary		09/806,511	ASPAR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	1 Ch			
•		Christopher W Lattin	2812				
Th M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication ap	o ars on the cover shet with the c	correspond nc ac	idress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MO - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w - Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replicible is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ This ac	ction is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s	) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s	) <u>22-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s	) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s	) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Pape	ers						
9)∐ The spec	cification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)∐ The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.				
Applica	ant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The prop	osed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)∏ The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🛭 Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)	)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.□ C	ertified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. C	ertified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No				
_	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	edgment is made of a claim for domesti			l application).			
•	translation of the foreign language pro edgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·					
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of Refere	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim indicates the formation of a layer of microcavities that excludes the formation of a porous layer, although the two seem to be indiscriminate.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 22-36, and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fowler (U.S. Patent 3,849,204).

Fowler teaches a method of forming a layer of microcavities 3 by introducing a gaseous species into the substrate of the second material such as hydrogen, forming precipitate embryos from the nucleation centers, and growth of the precipitates, wherein the precipitates are formed from species present or introduced in the second material,

Art Unit: 2812

and wherein growth is carried out by thermal diffusion, the substrate is silicon and the embedded layer is silicon dioxide **2**. See especially column 3 line 10 - column 5 line 32.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler as applied to the claims above and further in view of Henley et al. (6,159,824).

Fowler, discussed above, teaches all of the limitations of the claimed method, but fails to teach the use of plasma or pressure to produce growth of the precipitates. Henley et al. teach the use of plasma ion implantation to aid in growth of the precipitates. See column 3 line 63-column 4 line 15. In reference to the effect of pressure on precipitate growth, Henley et al. state that "[w]hen the wafer is heated above about 500 °C, gas pressure builds within the blister layer, and a thin layer of the donor wafer will split off along the cleavage plane." See column 5, line 60-64. Thus, the pressure effect on the growth of the precipitates would have been inherent. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use pressure and plasma as means for encouraging growth of the precipitates.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,511 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Tomozane et al. (U.S. Patent 5,310,689) teach a method of

forming and growing precipitate layers in a semiconductor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher Lattin whose telephone number is (703)

305-3017. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00

A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached at (703) 308-3325. The fax number for this

Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0956.

February 6, 2002

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examine? **Technology Center 2800**